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On page 452, Theorem 5 should read “Suppose DMU_0 is a frontier point. If \(1 \leq \delta \tilde{\delta}_i \leq 1 + \Gamma^*\) and \(1 - \Gamma^* \leq \tau_r \tilde{\tau}_r \leq 1\), then DMU_0 remains as a frontier point, where \(\Gamma^*\) is the optimal value to (9)”. The first line of Theorem 5’s proof should read “Equivalently we prove that if \(\delta \tilde{\delta}_i = 1 + \Gamma^*\) and \(\tau_r \tilde{\tau}_r = 1 - \Gamma^*\)”.

The last line in the paragraph after Theorem 5’s proof should read “Similar to Theorem 4, for an extreme efficient DMU_0, if \(\delta \tilde{\delta}_i > 1 + \Gamma^*\) and \(\tau_r \tilde{\tau}_r < 1 - \Gamma^*\), then DMU_0 will not remain extreme efficient”. That is, the square root sign was mistakenly placed in these places.
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